

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stater Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mpb.gsy

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/722,411	11/28/2003	Armando Marcotullio	245946US0CONT	7408	
22850	7590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN		
1940 DUKE	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1764		
ALEXANDI	AII, 111 22514				
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
10/722,4	11	MARCOTULLIO ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary Examine		Art Unit				
Walter D.		1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th Period for Reply	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalue 57 (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the star If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and of Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply repeived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this or earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from slication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2	<u>003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Q	layle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 6-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 6-17 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.					
	•					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	o∏ shipeted to by the	Eveniner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requi			1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum		ed in this National St	age			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cer		ed				
Occ the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cer	ca sopios not todore	 -				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/28/03</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: A paragraph containing a reference to the earlier filed applications should be inserted as the first paragraph of the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 11 does not further limit claim 9 because claim 9 is limited to alkali metal salts whereas claim 11 is limited to ammonium salts. It appears as if claim 11 should depend on claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/722,411

Art Unit: 1764

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Di Lullo et al. (US 5,445,179) in view of Ohzeki et al. (US 4,565,546).

The Di Lullo reference discloses a process for recovering and causing highly viscous petroleum products to flow by forming aqueous dispersions wherein the water content of said dispersions is at least 15%. The ratio of the petroleum product to water by weight ranges from 90:10 to 10:90. Examples of the highly viscous petroleum products include atmospheric and vacuum residues. The process comprises bringing the heated petroleum product into contact with a dispersing agent to form the dispersion and then causing the dispersion to flow. The heat is such as to flux the petroleum product. This discloses heating to make the petroleum flow which would necessarily mean that the petroleum is heated to a temperature above its softening point. The dispersing agent is an ammonium salt or a salt of an alkali metal such as sodium of condensates of naphthalene sulfonic acid with formaldehyde. The amount of dispersant ranges

Application/Control Number: 10/722,411

Art Unit: 1764

from 0.2 to 2.5% based on the total amount of water and petroleum product. See col. 1, lines 7-9; col. 2, lines 10-55; col. 5, lines 49-68; col. 6, lines 1-9; col. 7, lines 1-7; and claims 1-3 and 6.

The Di Lullo reference does not disclose the use of a visbreaking tar or the tar characteristics of claims 15-17.

The Ohzeki reference discloses that dispersants similar to those used in the process of Di Lullo can be used to disperse petroleum products such as residues from products of a thermal cracking treatment of petroleum fractions. See col. 5, lines 43-68.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Di Lullo by utilizing a visbreaking tar such as those claimed including those having the characteristics as in claims 14-17 because such a tar would be expected to be effectively treated since the Ohzeki reference discloses that such tars (i.e., residues from thermal cracking processes) are dispersed by dispersants similar to those disclosed by Di Lullo. Regarding specific chemical and physical characteristics of the tar, any tar that falls in the broad class of products disclosed by both Di Lullo and Ohzeki would be expected to be effectively treated.

Response to Arguments

The argument that the Ohzeki reference does not teach or suggest an oil in water dispersion is not persuasive because the Ohzeki reference is relied upon in combination with the Di Lullo reference to show that the claimed tars can be dispersed with dispersants similar to those disclosed by Di Lullo. This ability to be dispersed would appear to be applicable

Application/Control Number: 10/722,411

Art Unit: 1764

regardless of the state of the tar. Therefore, the examiner maintains that one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the tars of Ohzeki to be dispersed in the process of Di Lullo.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

WG June 17, 2004